Modulation of the bipolar seesaw in the Southeast Pacific during Termination 1
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Abstract

The termination of the last ice age (Termination 1; T1) is crucial for our understanding of global climate change and for the validation of climate models. There are still a number of open questions regarding for example the exact timing and the mechanisms involved in the initiation of deglaciation and the subsequent interhemispheric pattern of the warming. Our study is based on a well-dated and high-resolution alkenone-based sea surface temperature (SST) record from the SE-Pacific off southern Chile (Ocean Drilling Project Site 1233) showing that deglacial warming at the northern margin of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current system (ACC) began shortly after 19,000 years BP (19 kyr BP). The timing is largely consistent with Antarctic ice-core records but the initial warming in the SE-Pacific is more abrupt suggesting a direct and immediate response to the slowdown of the Atlantic thermohaline circulation through the bipolar seesaw mechanism. This response requires a rapid transfer of the Atlantic signal to the SE-Pacific without involving the thermal inertia of the Southern Ocean that may contribute to the substantially more gradual deglacial temperature rise seen in Antarctic ice-cores. A very plausible mechanism for this rapid transfer is a seesaw-induced change of the coupled ocean–atmosphere system of the ACC and the southern westerly wind belt. In addition, modelling results suggest that insolation changes and the deglacial CO\textsubscript{2} rise induced a substantial SST increase at our site location but with a gradual warming structure. The similarity of the two-step rise in our proxy SSTs and CO\textsubscript{2} over T1 strongly demands for a forcing mechanism influencing both, temperature and CO\textsubscript{2}. As SSTs at our coring site are particularly sensitive to latitudinal shifts of the ACC/southern westerly wind belt system, we conclude that such latitudinal shifts may substantially affect the upwelling of deepwater masses in the Southern Ocean and thus the release of CO\textsubscript{2} to the atmosphere as suggested by the conceptual model of [Toggweiler, J.R., Rusell, J.L., Carson, S.R., 2006. Midlatitude westerlies, atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2}, and climate change during ice ages. Paleoceanography 21. doi:10.1029/2005PA001154].
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1. Introduction

The termination of the last ice age (Termination 1; T1) is the last major climate transition of the Earth’s recent geological history and is thus crucial for our understanding of recent climate processes and the validation of climate models. Though T1 is accordingly very well studied involving numerous proxy records from both marine and terrestrial archives (e.g., Alley and Clark, 1999; Clark et al., 1999, 2004; Rinterknecht et al., 2006) as well as modelling studies (e.g., Knorr and Lohmann, 2003; Weaver et al., 2003), there are still a number of open questions regarding for example the exact timing and the mechanisms involved in the initiation of deglaciation and the subsequent interhemispheric pattern of the warming. Based on the Milankovitch concept, the ultimate drivers for the glacial termination are the increase in Northern Hemisphere (NH) summer insolation and non-linear responses from continental ice-sheets and particularly atmospheric greenhouse gases such as CO2 that transfer the northern signal globally (e.g. Clark et al., 1999). However, it has also been repeatedly suggested that the Southern Hemisphere (SH) leads the deglaciation and warming in the NH (e.g., Bard et al., 1997), whereas a re-evaluation of available ice-core and marine records covering T1 (Alley et al., 2002) suggests a northern temperature lead on orbital time-scales.

Part of the divergent views on possible interhemispheric leads or lags during T1 arise from the pronounced millennial-scale variations that are superimposed on primarily insolation-driven orbital-scale changes and are markedly different between the NH and SH. The general warming trend that may start as early as 23,000 years before present (23 kyr BP), based on Greenland and Antarctic ice-core records (e.g., Alley and Clark, 1999; Blunier and Brook, 2001), is further accentuated between ∼17 and 19 kyr BP in the south, whereas NH records show a return to cold conditions that culminate at the time of Heinrich event (HE) 1 (e.g., Alley and Clark, 1999). Thereafter, NH temperature abruptly increased into the Bölling/Allerød (B/A) warm period. In Antarctica, the deglacial warming trend was partly interrupted by a millennial-scale cooling event (Antarctic Cold Reversal, ACR) that began around the time of the B/A warming and ended close to the beginning of the Younger Dryas (YD) cold phase observed in the NH (e.g., Blunier and Brook, 2001; Morgan et al., 2002). The present picture of climate pattern during T1 is thus largely focussed on high latitude records in particular from Greenland and Antarctic ice-cores that have been synchronised by correlating globally recordable methane fluctuations (e.g., Blunier and Brook, 2001; Morgan et al., 2002; Epica Community Members, 2006). However, this correlation reveals ambiguities over the interval of the beginning deglacial warming in the SH making the analysis of interhemispheric climate pattern over this important interval more difficult.

Marine records from the SH have been involved to a much lesser extent. The available data from the Southern Ocean (e.g., Bianchi and Gersonde, 2004; Shemesh et al., 2002) and southern mid-latitudes (e.g., Pahnke et al., 2003) are generally consistent with the Antarctic records but dating uncertainties are high due to scarce datable material and/or large and potentially variable 14C reservoir ages. In addition, an increasing number of high-resolution records from the tropics have recently become available (e.g., Lea et al., 2006; Visser et al., 2003). As deglacial warming in some of these records occurred largely in phase with the CO2 increase as observed in Antarctic ice-cores, they have been interpreted in support for a tropical “trigger” for the deglaciation (Visser et al., 2003).

In this paper, we attempt to better understand the sequence of events over the last termination, on an absolute time-scale, based on a new sea surface temperature (SST) record from the SE-Pacific with exceptional time-resolution and dating accuracy over T1 (i.e., 10–25 kyr BP). Our SST data are from Ocean Drilling Project (ODP) Site 1233 located at the southern Chilean continental margin at 41°S within the northernmost reach of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) and the southern westerly wind belt (Fig. 1). In previous works, we showed that the complete ∼70-kyr-long alkenone SST record at Site 1233 closely follows millennial-scale temperature fluctuations as observed in Antarctic ice cores (Kaiser et al., 2005; Lamy et al., 2004). However, the absolute age-scale over the earlier part of the last glacial, when large amplitude methane fluctuations allow a detailed inter-correlation of Greenland and Antarctic ice-cores (Blunier and Brook, 2001; Epica Community Members, 2006), is less well defined in marine sediments due to increasing uncertainties in radiocarbon dating and calendar year conversion. Therefore, we now substantially increased the time resolution around T1, an interval that spans ∼27 m composite core depth at Site 1233, and added a number of new 14C AMS dates, now with an average spacing of ∼1200 years.

2. Investigation area

Site 1233 (41°00′S; 74°27′W) is located 38 km offshore (20 km off the continental shelf) at 838 m water
depth in a small forearc basin on the upper continental slope off Southern Chile (Fig. 1) away from the pathway of major turbidity currents (Mix et al., 2003). The region is located within the northernmost reach of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) at the origin of the Peru–Chile Current (PCC) (Fig. 1). The ACC brings cold, relatively fresh, nutrient-rich, Subantarctic Surface Water originating from the region north of the Subantarctic Front. The northern part of the ACC splits around ∼43°S into the PCC flowing northward and the Cape–Horn Current (CHC) turning towards the south (Strub et al., 1998). The mean annual SST at ∼41°S (ODP Site 1233) is ∼14 °C and varies between ∼11 °C in winter and ∼16 °C in summer, i.e. with a seasonal amplitude of ∼5 °C. Linked to the northern boundary of the ACC, steep latitudinal SST gradients occur south of Site 1233, a region that is increasingly influenced by the southern westerly wind belt (Fig. 1). Northward, the SST isotherms take a more meridional orientation, primarily as a result of the equatorward advection of cold water in the PCC and to a lesser extent as a direct consequence of increasing coastal upwelling towards the central and northern Chilean margin (Tomczak and Godfrey, 2003).

3. Material, methods, and chronology

3.1. Sampling

Five Advanced Piston Corer holes were drilled at Site 1233 to ensure a complete stratigraphic overlap between cores from different holes. Detailed comparisons between high-resolution core logging data performed shipboard demonstrated that the complete sedimentary sequence down to 116.4 meters below surface (mbsf) was recovered. Based on these data, a composite sequence (the so-called splice) was constructed representing 135.65 meters composite depth (mcd). Discrete samples for alkenone analyses were taken from the interval that covers Termination 1 (T1) (see age model) with an average resolution of ∼15 cm resulting in a temporal resolution of ∼90 years of our alkenone SST record. Additional samples for 14C accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) dating were taken from the splice and, in some cases, from outside the splice.

3.2. Age model

In this study we present data from the composite sequence between 10 and 5 thousand calendar years...
before present (kyr BP) representing ~13 mcd to ~40 mcd. The age model of this interval is based on thirteen $^{14}$C AMS datings (Table 1) with an average spacing of ~1200 years and linear interpolation between the dates. $^{14}$C ages were primarily calibrated with the INTCAL04 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2004). However, the INTCAL04 calibration curve is poorly constrained for the interval between ~12,500 and ~14,500 $^{14}$C yr BP with few data points and missing surface coral data (Robinson et al., 2005) (Fig. 2). Radiocarbon data from the Cariaco basin (Hughen et al., 2004) suggest the presence of a radiocarbon plateau lasting from ~12,900 to ~13,300 $^{14}$C yr BP (~15.7 to ~17 kyr BP) (Fig. 2). Similar results have been obtained from a densely $^{14}$C-dated marine sediment core in the Northwest Pacific (Sarnthein et al., 2006). Likewise, two of our $^{14}$C AMS datings (at 21.39 mcd and 23.69 mcd) within this interval revealed $^{14}$C-ages very close together that would result in anomalously high sedimentation rates (Table 1). Therefore, we applied here the Cal-Pal_SFCP_2005 (www.calpal.de) calibration curve which is primarily based on the Cariaco basin record (Hughen et al., 2004) in this interval and contains a number of data points (Fig. 2). For the Cal-Pal_SFCP_2005 calibration curve, the original GISP2-synchronized gray-scale record has been adapted to the Greenland time-scale of Shackleton et al. (2004). This has been done by linearly interpolating between the unchanged base of the Bolling/Allerød at 14.66 kyr BP and the base of Greenland interstadial at 29 kyr BP (compared to 27.84 kyr BP in the original synchronization to GISP2 (Hughen et al., 2004)). Within the for this study relevant interval the offset is however very small.

Table 1 compares the calibrated ages using different calibration curves (including CalPal_SFCP_2005, INTCAL04, and the most recent Fairbanks U/Th-based calibration curve (Fairbanks et al., 2005)). Except for the above mentioned interval, the calibrated ages are nearly indistinguishable making the timing of the first and second major warming step discussed in this paper very robust. Calendar ages derived with the Cal-Pal_SFCP_2005 calibration curves are however significantly older for three datings within the poorly constrained interval in the coral-based calibration curves. The presence of the above mentioned radiocarbon plateau induces a significant uncertainty in the calibrated ages of the dating at 23.69 mcd. However, the sedimentation-rates achieved by calibrating the $^{14}$C-datings with the CalPal_SFCP_2005 calibration curve appear more realistic assuming only moderately variable sedimentation rates at Site 1233 as shown by the other datings (Table 1).

As discussed in detail in our previous publications (Kaiser et al., 2005; Lamy et al., 2004), we assume no regional deviation from the global reservoir effect of ~400 years because of the presence of an early Holocene volcanic ash layer at Site 1233 (that has been likewise dated on land) and the position of our site significantly south of the Chilean upwelling zone (Strub et al., 1998) and north of the southern polar front where higher reservoir ages may be expected. In addition, the new datings presented in this paper that fall on the above mentioned radiocarbon plateau support the ~400 years reservoir age assumption. Larger reservoir ages would move the corrected $^{14}$C-ages after the plateau (Fig. 2) and would thus yield anomalous sedimentation rates (Table 1).

We revised all radiocarbon-based age models of published studies shown in our paper as outlined above for our own datings. All ice-core age models are plotted on the new Greenland Ice Core Chronology 2005 (GICC05) that is based on annual layer counting back to 42 kyr BP (Andersen et al., 2006). The Epica Dronning Maud Land (DML) and Byrd ice-cores have been synchronized to the Greenland record using the pattern of millennial-scale methane fluctuations (Epica Community Members, 2006; Blunier et al., 2007). The ice-age scale of the Epica Dome C (Dome C) record has been synchronized to that of DML using volcanic and dust tie points based on continuous sulfate, electrolytic conductivity, dielectric profiling, particulate dust, and Ca$^{2+}$ data available for both cores (Epica Community Members, 2006). A gas-age model based on the GICC05 for Dome C has not yet been published. We therefore synchronized the Dome C and DML methane records taking a minimum number of tie-points at the large fluctuations around the YD and B/A and a minor peak in methane close to 23 kyr BP (Fig. 3).

3.3. Alkenone measurements

Alkenones were extracted from 1 to 3 g of freeze-dried and homogenized sediment following a procedure described in detail by Müller et al. (1998). The extracts were analysed by capillary gas chromatography using an HP 5890 serie II Plus gas chromatograph equipped with a 60-m column (J&W DB5MS, 0.32 mm $\times$ 0.1 μm), split/splitless and flame ionization detection. Helium was used as carrier gas with a constant pressure of 150 kPa. The oven temperature was programmed to reach 50–250 °C at 25 °C/min, 250–290 at 1 °C/min, followed by a plateau of 26 min, and 290–310 at 30 °C/min, with the final temperature being maintained for 10 min.
Table 1

14C ages obtained by accelerator mass spectrometry dating of mixed planktonic foraminifera samples (primarily *Globigerinoides bulloides* and *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma*), performed at the Leibniz–Labor AMS facility in Kiel, Germany. Shown are the calendar ages and resulting linear sedimentation rates based on the *CalPal_SFCP_2005* (www.calpal.de) primarily based on the GISP2-synchronized Cariaco basin record (Hughen et al., 2004) *INTCAL04* (Reimer et al., 2004), and the most recent *Fairbanks* U/Th-based calibration curve (Fairbanks et al., 2005). Marked in red is one dating that is affected by the radiocarbon plateau shown by the *CalPal_SFCP_2005* calibration curve (see Fig. 2 and discussion in Section 3.2).

* Mark calibrated ages used for the final age model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. ID</th>
<th>Core depth (med)</th>
<th>14C-age (kyr)</th>
<th>±Error (kyr)</th>
<th>Calendar ages (kyr)</th>
<th>±Error (kyr)</th>
<th>14C-age (kyr)</th>
<th>±Error (kyr)</th>
<th>14C-age (kyr)</th>
<th>±Error (kyr)</th>
<th>14C-age (kyr)</th>
<th>±Error (kyr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KIA 21451</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>10.05*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 29613</td>
<td>14.21</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.72*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 21473</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>12.33*</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 21447</td>
<td>20.22</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>13.75*</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 29614</td>
<td>21.39</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>15.26*</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>15.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>15.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 29615</td>
<td>23.69</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16.13*</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>15.41</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 21448</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>17.35*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 29617</td>
<td>27.97</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>18.73*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 19693</td>
<td>29.81</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>19.77*</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>19.81</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 29617</td>
<td>31.47</td>
<td>17.41</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>20.91</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>20.54*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>20.61</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 19694</td>
<td>33.51</td>
<td>18.12</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>21.87</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>21.57*</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>21.68</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 19695</td>
<td>36.56</td>
<td>19.34</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>23*</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>23.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA 19702</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>24.64</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>24.9*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sedimentation rates (m/kyr)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CalPal_SFCP_2005</th>
<th>INTCAL04</th>
<th>Fairbanks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quantification of the alkenones was achieved using 2-nonadecanone (C_{19}H_{38}O) as internal standard and HPGC ChemStation as analytical software. The alkenone unsaturation index UK_{37}' was calculated from UK_{37}' = (C_{37:2})/(C_{37:3}+C_{37:2}), where C_{37:2} and C_{37:3} are the di- and tri-unsaturated C_{37} methyl alkenones. The analytical precision was estimated to be ±0.3 °C. For conversion into temperature values, we used the culture calibration of Prahl et al. (1988) (UK_{37}' = 0.034T + 0.039), which has been validated by core-top compilations (e.g., Müller et al., 1998). We assume that alkenone-derived SST estimates at Site 1233 reflect annual mean sea surface temperatures as suggested by measurements on surface sediments at Site 1233 and further north along the Chilean continental margin (Kaiser et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2002). This does, however, not exclude that alkenone SSTs could be biased towards the spring bloom in productivity.

It has been recently observed that alkenones may be substantially older than co-occurring planktic foraminifera (Mollenhauer et al., 2005). Holocene age differences measured on the Site 1233 survey core GeoB 3313-1 showed rather constant age offsets of ∼1000 years (Mollenhauer et al., 2005). Mollenhauer et al. (2005) explained this offset as most likely resulting from continuous resuspension/redeposition cycles induced by internal tides and sediment focusing in morphologic depressions such as the small basin at Site 1233. By

Fig. 2. Calibration of 14C AMS dates over Termination 1. 14C ages were primarily calibrated with the INTCAL04 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2004) (calibration results are indicated by black bars with probability distribution). However, the INTCAL04 calibration curve is poorly constrained for the interval between ∼12,500 and ∼14,500 14C yr BP with few data points and missing surface coral data (Robinson et al., 2005). For this interval, we used the CalPal_SFCP_2005 (www.calpal.de) calibration curve (green bars with probability distribution). Doted lines mark one date that is substantially influenced by the radiocarbon plateau evident in the CalPal_SFCP_2005 that derives from the Cariaco basin data points (Hughen et al., 2004) in this interval. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
comparing the age offsets in different continental margin settings, they further noted that age offsets were largest where TOC contents and alkenone concentrations are highest. Therefore, we expect that the age offsets if they are indeed induced by resuspension/redeposition cycles should be much smaller for the deglacial section where both TOC and alkenone concentrations are significantly lower than during the Holocene. Alkenone concentrations are in the order of 2000 to 3000 ng/g dry sediment during the Holocene and 500 to 1000 ng/g dry sediment during the late glacial. TOC contents range from Holocene values between ∼1.5 and ∼2.5 wt.% to late glacial values between ∼0.5 and ∼1 wt.% (Kaiser et al., 2005; Martinez et al., 2006). We also note that Holocene grain-size data on the survey core GeoB 3313-1 suggest constant and rather undisturbed fine-grained hemipelagic sedimentation (Lamy et al., 2001). Available oceanographic data show that bottom water circulation at the depth of Site 1233 (Antarctic Intermediate Water; e.g., (Shaffer et al., 2004)) is rather too sluggish for the resuspension of sediments and internal waves have not been described at the Chilean margin. We suggest that the constant admixture of older material that would affect the 14C ages of the alkenone fraction but not significantly the reconstructed alkenone temperatures would be likewise conceivable, a possibility that Mollenhauer et al. (2005) did not exclude either. We therefore assume that our SST record is not substantially affected by any age offsets between the alkenone containing fine fraction and the coarse fraction foraminifera that have been used for dating in our study.

3.4. Modelling

The transient glacial–interglacial simulation shown in Fig. 5H was performed with the ECBilt–Clio climate model and includes the time-varying orographic and albedo ice-sheet effects, greenhouse gas changes, and orbital forcing variations. The time-varying greenhouse gas forcing uses CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O concentrations. Concentration values were measured on the Antarctica ice-core Taylor Dome (Indermühle et al., 2000; Smith et al., 1999). The time-scale was aligned to the GISP2 time-scale (Meese et al., 1997). CH₄ and N₂O were measured in samples from the GISP2 ice-core (Sowers et al., 2003). The most relevant greenhouse gas changes are associated with CO₂. During the LGM the estimated global radiative forcing anomaly with respect to pre-industrial conditions amounts to about −2 W/m² (compared to −0.22 W/m² and −0.25 W/m² for CH₄ and N₂O, respectively).

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Sea surface temperatures off Chile compared to Antarctic ice-core records

Deglacial warming in our alkenone SST record starts at ∼18.8 kyr BP with a ∼2-kyr-long increase of nearly 5 °C until ∼16.7 kyr BP (Fig. 4A). Thereafter, temperatures remain comparatively stable until the beginning of a second warming step of ∼2 °C between ∼12.7 and ∼12.1 kyr BP. A comparison of our SST record to different Antarctic ice-core records suggests a general correspondence in the major temperature trends, particularly the two-step warming over T1. As in our SST record, in the Pacific Sector of Antarctica, (Byrd, Fig. 4B) (Blunier and Brook, 2001), deglacial warming initiated shortly after 19 kyr BP. Both records show very similar millennial-scale variations before T1 though these changes partly reveal larger offsets in particular between ∼19 and 23 kyr BP where the methane synchronization is uncertain (Blunier and Brook, 2001; Epica Community Members, 2006) (i.e., the SST minimum close to 22.5 kyr BP may well correspond to the temperature minimum at ∼21.5 kyr BP in the Byrd record). The new record from Dronning Maud Land (Epica Community Members, 2006) (DML; Atlantic Sector; Fig. 4C), shows a ∼600-year delayed initiation of deglacial warming and a millennial-scale warming between ∼23.5 and 24.5 kyr BP (Antarctic Isotope
Maximum 2) that occurs ∼500 years later than a warming shown in the SST data. In the Dome C record (Epica Community Members, 2006) (continental site, Fig. 4D), the initial warming starts at about the same time as in the DML record. In general, the deglacial warming as documented in Antarctic ice-cores is substantially more gradual than observed in our SST record where most of the initial warming occurs over a time-interval of only ∼1200 years (∼18.8 to 17.6 kyr BP).

4.2. The bipolar seesaw

Millennial-scale temperature changes in Antarctica over the last glacial may be consistently explained by the bipolar seesaw concept that suggests an out-of-phase millennial-scale climate pattern between the NH and SH during the last glacial (e.g., Stocker et al., 1998). The concept was later extended by including a time constant that describes the thermal storage effect of the Southern Ocean and explains why glacial Antarctic and Greenland temperatures are not strictly anti-correlated but are rather characterised by a lead–lag relationship (Knutti et al., 2004; Siddall et al., 2006; Stocker and Johnsen, 2003). The initiation of deglacial warming in the SE-Pacific shortly after 19 kyr BP coincides very closely with a starting slowdown of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC) (McManus et al., 2004) (Fig. 5B) and a beginning NH (Greenland) cooling towards HE 1 (Fig. 5C). The freshwater input that started the reduction of the AMOC likely originated from the beginning deglaciation of the NH ice sheets. This is shown for example by a recent study on changes in the extension of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet over T1 (Rinterknecht et al., 2006) (Fig. 5A) suggesting that deglaciation began at ∼19 kyr BP synchronous with a previously suggested sea-level rise in the order of 10 to 15 m (Clark et al., 2004). The related freshwater input was later reinforced during subsequent HE 1 (Clark et al., 2004; Rinterknecht et al., 2006). By inducing Antarctic ice-sheet melting, the SH warming may then have fed back to the NH by resuming the AMOC leading into the B/A warm period as indicated by modelling studies (Knorr and Lohmann, 2003; Weaver et al., 2003). During this time interval, SH warming slowed down or even reversed (ACR). Both our record and the Antarctic ice-core data reveal that the second reduction of the AMOC again reinforced the SH warming as documented in the second major warming step during the NH YD cold phase (Fig. 5).

The timing of both the initial and the second warming step in our data, suggests that the SST response in the mid-latitude SE-Pacific occurred quasi instantaneous to the starting slowdown of the AMOC (Fig. 5). The conceptual model of Stocker and Johnsen (2003) shows such strict antiphase behaviour for the South Atlantic. However, the occurrence of an “immediate” and high amplitude response in our SST record requires a rapid transfer of the Atlantic signal to the SE-Pacific without involving the thermal inertia of the Southern Ocean that contributed to the substantially more gradual and partly delayed (in case of the DML and Dome C records) deglacial temperature rise seen in Antarctic ice-cores. The most plausible mechanism for this rapid transfer is a seesaw-induced change of the coupled ocean–atmosphere system of the ACC and the southern westerly wind belt. Using a coupled atmosphere–ocean–sea ice model, Timmermann et al. (2005) show a substantial decrease of westerly airflow between ∼40 and ∼50°S and an increase further south in the South Pacific for an
AMOC shutdown experiment compared to a Last Glacial Maximum simulation (Fig. 6). This latitudinal shift of the SH westerlies is a robust feature in a number of North Atlantic water-hosing experiments with Coupled General Circulation Models (Timmermann et al., in press).

### 4.3. Other forcings beyond the bipolar seesaw

The SST response to a weakening of the AMOC in these and other model simulations (e.g., Knutti et al., 2004; Schmittner et al., 2002) is, however, much smaller than the initial warming observed at Site 1233 (Fig. 6). Part of the high amplitude SST response at our Pacific site is likely caused by the very pronounced regional SST gradients (Fig. 1). These gradients are intimately linked to the northern margin of the westerlies and the ACC and provide a regional sensitivity that may not be captured by the comparatively coarse climate models. Moreover, in contrast to the glacial period, bipolar seesaw induced climate variations over T1 are more strongly superimposed by changes in important forcing factors such as insolation and atmospheric CO₂ content. A transient glacial–interglacial simulation with the ECBilt–Clio climate model which neglects late glacial millennial-scale meltwater forcing, suggests a substantial SST rise in the SE-Pacific that likewise starts at ~19 kyr BP (Fig. 5H). In this transient simulation, the SE-Pacific temperature response to orbital and greenhouse gas forcing shows a more gradual increase in contrast to the distinct two-step warming observed in our record. This further strengthens the importance of the superposition of seesaw related processes with other forcings such as orbitally induced seasonal variations of incoming solar radiation and atmospheric CO₂ that were unique to T1.

An additional warming not considered in most climate models may also be related to substantially decreasing atmospheric dust contents as recorded in Antarctic ice-cores. Dust contents in Antarctic ice decrease notably to already Holocene levels during the first major warming step recorded in our SST record (Fig. 5F). A minor decrease observed in the log-scaled record (Fig. 5F) also occurs over the second major warming step. Antarctic dust primarily originates from Southern Patagonia and its content in the ice is controlled by atmospheric dust deposition. In this simulation, the dust content is also reduced significantly during the first major warming step, and it recovers during the second major warming step. This further supports the importance of the superposition of seesaw related processes with other forcings such as orbitally induced seasonal variations of incoming solar radiation and atmospheric CO₂ that were unique to T1.

![Fig. 5. Compilation of paleoclimatic records to explain interhemispheric climate pattern over T1. (A) Time–distance diagram of fluctuations of the southern Scandinavian ice-sheet (SIS) margin (Rinterknecht et al., 2006) including the position of the 19-kyr sea-level rise after Clark et al. (2004). (B) ²³¹⁹̅P̅a/²³⁰̅Th̅ record from a subtropical North Atlantic sediment core with radiocarbon datings taken as a proxy for the strength of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (McManus et al., 2004). (C) Oxygen isotope record of the Gisp2 ice-core, Greenland (Grootes et al., 1993). (D) Alkenone SST record from Site 1233 with radiocarbon datings. (E) CO₂ record from the Dome C ice-core (Monnin et al., 2001) (methane-synchronized to the GICC05). (F) Atmospheric dust content record from the Dome C ice-core (Delmonte et al., 2002) (on the GICC05). Small insert figure shows dust record on log-scale for the interval 10–14 kyr BP. (G) Carbon isotope record from Site TR163-19, eastern equatorial Pacific (Spero and Lea, 2002). (H) Modelled SST record at Site 1233 conducted with a transient glacial–interglacial simulation (ECBilt–Clio) including orographic and albedo ice-sheet effects, CO₂ changes, and orbital forcing.](http://example.com/image.png)
by atmospheric circulation pattern over Patagonia and the Southern Ocean in addition to impacts of regional aridity and sea level (Delmonte et al., 2002; Wolff et al., 2006). Though the climatic impact of regional atmospheric dust content changes are discussed controversially (Harrison et al., 2001), a slight warming in the order of 0.5 to 1 °C in the SH mid and high latitudes in response to decreasing atmospheric dust content levels over T1 as indicated by climate models (Schneider von Deimling et al., 2006) can not be excluded.

4.4 Southeast Pacific SSTs and atmospheric CO2

A two-step pattern as in our SST record is also apparent in the CO2 record from the Dome C ice-core (Monnin et al., 2001) that, however, results in the model simulation only in a gradual warming (Fig. 5H). The correspondence of the deglacial pattern in SE-Pacific SST and the CO2 record is remarkable. The initial warming (∼5 °C) in our SST record slightly predates (∼700 years) the most significant increase in CO2 (∼35 ppmv, interval I in Monnin et al. (2001)). The second major warming step during the NH YD (∼2 °C) in our SST record coincides with another CO2 increase of ∼15 ppmv (first part of interval IV in Monnin et al. (2001)) (Fig. 5D–E). Assuming that our record largely reflects shifts of the coupled ACC/westerlies system, this concurrence is consistent with the previously suggested important role of such latitudinal shifts in controlling atmospheric CO2 contents (Ninnemann and Charles, 1997; Toggweiler et al., 2006). Based on a general circulation model, Toggweiler et al. (2006) showed that the equatorward shifted SH westerlies during the glacial allowed more respired CO2 to accumulate in the deep ocean. During glacial terminations, the southward moving westerlies reduced polar stratification and enhanced upwelling of deepwater masses around Antarctica that would then have released large amounts of the stored CO2 to the atmosphere. Such a mechanism is supported by the occurrence of a pronounced δ13C minimum recorded in thermocline-dwelling foraminifera in the equatorial Pacific (Spero and Lea, 2002). Within dating uncertainties, the onset of this event during T1 coincides with the initiation of SST warming and beginning southward movement of the westerlies (Fig. 5G) and has been interpreted in terms of a breakdown of surface water stratification and renewed Circumpolar Deep Water upwelling in the Southern Ocean (Spero and Lea, 2002). The ∼700-year delayed beginning of the initial CO2 rise compared to the SE-Pacific SST rise is probably related to the uncertain methane synchronization during the beginning deglaciation (Fig. 3). This interpretation is supported by the exact beginning of the second step during the YD when the synchronization is very accurate. In addition, new constraints on the gas age–ice age difference along the Epica ice-cores suggest that the lag of the CO2 increase at the start of T1 as proposed by Monnin et al. (2001) is overestimated and that the CO2 increase could well have been in phase or slightly leading the temperature increase at Dome C (Loulergue et al., 2007). This would move the initiation of the CO2 rise close to the observed warming at our site.

Fig. 6. Atmospheric response to a transient glacial meltwater experiment leading to a complete shutdown of the AMOC performed with the ECBilt–Clio climate model (Timmermann et al., 2005). Shown is the difference of time-averaged wind stress (vectors, eastward direction means decrease of westerly airflow) and temperature (shading) fields between the meltwater experiment and a Last Glacial Maximum simulation. This situation represents the response to the slowdown of the AMOC beginning at ∼19 kyr BP as seen in the proxy records (Fig. 4). Note the decrease of westerly airflow in the Southern Hemisphere mid-latitudes and increase in the Southern Ocean consistent with a latitudinal shift of the westerly wind belt. The temperature response is, however, only minor.

We observe a similar link between SE-Pacific SSTs and CO₂ for older intervals, for example the transition from marine isotope stage (MIS) 4 to MIS 3, though in this case a slightly lower CO₂ increase of ∼25 ppmv corresponds to a ∼5 °C SST increase (Fig. 7B–C). An important question is why the partly substantial glacial SST changes in the SE-Pacific and the associated shifts of the SH westerlies and ACC system that resulted sometimes in nearly similar CO₂ changes as over the first deglacial warming step have not initiated interglacial conditions? One answer may be related to the duration of the preceding cold phase. It is well conceivable that larger amounts of CO₂ were stored in the deep ocean during the long-lasting glacial phase with low CO₂ contents of late MIS 3 and MIS 2 compared to the comparatively short MIS 4 that was preceded by nearly interglacial conditions during late MIS 5. Thus, even during comparable insolation changes, the release of CO₂ from the deep-water reservoir at T1 is expected to have been larger. Probably more important is the particular combination of orbital-scale insolation changes and millennial-scale climate variability over T1. NH summer insolation similarly increased at the MIS 4/3 transition (Fig. 7A) and a major slowdown of the AMOC (HE 6) likewise occurred during this interval (Fig. 7D). However, the Toggweiler et al. (2006) model suggests that the system may be characterised by a threshold beyond that the westerlies and CO₂ level would rapidly move towards either their glacial or interglacial positions. It is well conceivable that this threshold was not reached at the transition from MIS 4 to MIS 3 because only one single major slowdown in the AMOC (i.e., HE 6) occurred late in the interval of increasing NH summer insolation. Over T1, the beginning slowdown of the AMOC towards HE 1 took place early in the interval of insolation increase and was followed by a second slowdown (the YD) only a few millennia later (Fig. 7). Taken together, both episodes likely moved the climate system into interglacial conditions. The intervening resumption of the AMOC during the B/A was apparently insufficient to move the westerlies significantly back north as shown by the longer SST “plateau” lasting from ∼16.7 to ∼12.7 kyr BP. Consistent with an interrupted rather than reversed SH warming and southward movement of the westerlies, the CO₂ record of Dome C shows constant values over the ACR (Fig. 5E). Furthermore, a clear cooling during the ACR is likewise missing in the DML ice-core record (Fig. 4C) but particularly well developed in the more continental drilling sites as Dome C (Fig. 4D) and Vostok (not shown on Fig. 4).

5. Conclusions

Our SE-Pacific SST record provides a unique opportunity to discuss globally relevant processes over Termination 1 on an absolute radiocarbon-based time-scale. This point is particularly important as the lack of reliable dating accuracy often hampered the exact dating of the onset of deglacial warming in the Southern Ocean (due to large and variable reservoir ages). Furthermore, Antarctic ice core records cannot be unambiguously synchronized to the Northern Hemisphere because of only minor methane fluctuation during this particular interval. Deglacial warming at the northern margin of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current system (ACC) began shortly after 19 kyr BP. Though this timing is largely consistent with Antarctic ice-core records, the initial warming in the SE-Pacific is more abrupt suggesting a direct and immediate response to the slowdown of the Atlantic thermohaline circulation through the bipolar seesaw mechanism. This response requires a rapid tran-
transfer of the Atlantic signal to the SE-Pacific without involving the thermal inertia of the Southern Ocean that may contribute to the substantially more gradual deglacial temperature rise seen in Antarctic ice-cores. The most plausible mechanism for this rapid transfer is a seesaw induced change of the coupled ocean–atmosphere system of the ACC and the southern westerly wind belt as supported by North Atlantic water-hosing model experiments. The observed SST warming can however not be explained by the bipolar seesaw alone. Our modelling results suggest that a substantial part of the signal is induced by insolation changes and the deglacial CO$_2$ rise that are superimposed on the bipolar seesaw–induced signal but only lead to a gradual warming at our site.

The similarity of the two-step rise in our proxy SSTs and CO$_2$ over T1 strongly demands for a forcing mechanism influencing both, temperature and CO$_2$. As SSTs at our coring site are particularly sensitive to latitudinal shifts of the ACC/southern westerly wind belt system, we conclude that such latitudinal shifts may substantially affect the upwelling of deepwater masses in the Southern Ocean and thus the release of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere as suggested by the conceptual model of Toggweiler et al. (2006). This connection of atmospheric CO$_2$ contents to SST changes in the Southeast Pacific and the position of the westerlies may be very relevant for our future climate as some models see significant shifts of the westerlies under future greenhouse scenarios (e.g., Yin, 2005).

An often discussed but still not resolved question is the role of the tropics, in particular of the tropical Pacific. Recent SST reconstructions from the Indo-Pacific Warm Pool (Visser et al., 2003) and the eastern tropical Pacific (Lea et al., 2006) show some similarities with our SST record but with generally much smaller amplitudes. This may well be explained by a transmission of South Pacific SST warming through the surface ocean via the Eastern Boundary Current system and through intermediate water masses towards the tropics (Clark et al., 2004). Such SST changes in the tropical Pacific may have introduced important feedbacks by their large impact on the hydrological cycle and the greenhouse gas concentration (Clark et al., 2004; Palmer and Pearson, 2003).
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